

From: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Alperin-Sheriff, Jacob \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: PQC evaluation procedures and submission checklist
Date: Thursday, August 31, 2017 12:59:00 PM

Thanks, Jacob.

From: Alperin-Sheriff, Jacob (Fed)
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2017 12:58 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>; internal-pqc <internal-pqc@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: PQC evaluation procedures and submission checklist

I'll do most of the optical media requirements.

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Thursday, August 31, 2017 at 12:57 PM
To: internal-pqc <internal-pqc@nist.gov>
Subject: PQC evaluation procedures and submission checklist

Everyone,

In the last three months before the deadline, we will probably start receiving submissions at a quicker pace. I'm attaching two documents (also available on the sharepoint site) which should help us stay organized with this. The "PQC submission eval procedure.doc" explains the procedure I'll follow upon receiving a submission. For those submissions which we receive in the month of September, we'll need to use the other document "PQC Submission Checklist.doc" to help us determine if the submission is "complete and proper", or if it isn't, which requirements the submitter needs to fix.

To evaluate this, I'll probably assign out reviewing the technical requirements that need to be checked to various people. For reviewing the optical media requirements, I'll probably assign Jacob or Larry (unless somebody else wants to volunteer). For this initial check, we are pretty much checking if the submitters appear to have complied with our requirements – we won't be doing a detailed analysis at this stage. The checklist walks you through it.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Dustin

-- These documents were adapted from a similar set Shu-Jen used at the start of the SHA-3 process.